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Summary

Robson and Whitlock (1964) considered point estimation and confidence limits for the upper bound of a random variable when the bound was known to be a truncation point. However, their approach to the point estimation problem failed to produce an estimator with smaller mean squared error than the largest order statistic from a random sample. In this paper we will construct point estimators of the bounds of random variables which are substantially better estimators than the extreme order statistics for many classes of random variables, including those whose distributions are truncated at one or both ends. We will also construct confidence limits and tests of hypotheses for bounds. The main results are large sample results.

1. Introduction

Suppose \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \) are independent random variables, each with absolutely continuous cumulative distribution function \( F(x) \), where \( F(x) \in (0,1) \) only for \( x \in (\phi, \theta) \). The interval \( (\phi, \theta) \) is sometimes referred to as the support of the distribution function \( F \); see, for example, Feller (1966). Let \( Y_1 \leq Y_2 \leq \ldots \leq Y_n \) be the order statistics based on \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \). We will construct a point estimator of \( \theta \) when \( \theta \) is known to be finite and \( \phi \) is unknown. Of course the result which follow also apply when \( \phi \) is known and,
in particular, when \( \phi = -\infty \), the only assumption required then being that
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} x^2 dF(x) < \infty.
\]
We will also construct confidence limits for \( \theta \) and large sample tests of hypotheses about \( \theta \). No results for lower bounds will be proved since these can easily be derived from the upper board results.

2. Point Estimation of \( \theta \).

With no information about the form of \( F \) and, in particular, with no information about the shape of the upper tail of \( f(x) = F'(x) \), the statistic chosen to estimate \( \theta \) seems likely to be \( Y_n \). In an attempt to improve on the estimator \( Y_n \) in the sense of reducing its mean squared error when \( \theta \) was known to be a truncation point of the upper tail of \( f(x) \), Robson and Whitlock (1964) applied a modification of Quenouilli's (1956) bias reduction technique to \( Y_n \). This led to the family of estimators
\[
T_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i \binom{k+1}{i} Y_{n-i}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1,
\]
where removal of the leading bias term gave \( T_n^{(1)} \), removal of the next term gave \( T_n^{(2)} \) and so on. However, it was found that \( T_n^{(1)} \) had the same asymptotic mean squared error as \( Y_n \), while the mean squared error of \( T_n^{(k)} \) increased with \( k \). The author has been able to show that for the other types of upper bound considered in this paper the mean squared error of \( T_n^{(k)} \) also increases with \( k \), but that \( T_n^{(1)} \) has smaller asymptotic mean squared error than \( Y_n \). Thus we will compare the mean squared error of the estimator derived here with that of
\[
T_n^{(1)} = Y_n + (Y_n - Y_{n-1}) = 2Y_n - Y_{n-1}.
\]

2
The term \( Y_n - Y_{n-1} \) attempts to correct the bias in \( Y_n \) and of course does so in a sensible way since \( Y_n \) underestimates \( \theta \) and \( Y_n - Y_{n-1} \) is nonnegative with probability one.

The random variable \( Y_n \) has distribution function \( F^n(y) \) and mean

\[
E(Y_n) = \int_{\phi}^{\theta} ydF^n(y) = \theta - \int_{\phi}^{\theta} F^n(y)dy,
\]

on integrating by parts. Thus we can write

\[
\theta = E(Y_n) + \int_{\phi}^{\theta} F^n(y)dy
\]

and this suggests the estimator

\[
\hat{Y}_n = Y_n + \int_{Y_1}^{Y_n} \hat{F}(y)dy
\]

where \( \hat{F}(y) \) is the empirical distribution function based on the order statistics \( Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n \); that is,

\[
\hat{F}(y) = \begin{cases} 
0 & , \quad y < Y_1 \\
\frac{i}{n} & , \quad y_i \leq y < Y_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \\
1 & , \quad y \geq Y_n
\end{cases}
\]

Now

\[
\int_{Y_1}^{Y_n} \hat{F}(y)dy = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{i}{n} \right)^n (Y_{i+1} - Y_i)
\]

\[
= Y_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left( \frac{i}{n} \right)^n - \left( \frac{i-1}{n} \right)^n \right\} Y_i = Y_n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{i}{n} \right)^n - \left( 1 - \frac{i+1}{n} \right)^n \right\} Y_{n-i}
\]

and so the suggested estimator is

\[
2Y_n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{i}{n} \right)^n - \left( 1 - \frac{i+1}{n} \right)^n \right\} Y_{n-i}
\]

However, since we will only investigate the case in which \( n \) is large, we will consider the estimator
\[ \hat{\theta}_n = 2Y_n - (1-e^{-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{-i} Y_{n-i} \]  

and compare its performance with that of \( T_n^{(1)} \). The asymptotic efficiency of \( T_n^{(1)} \) relative to \( \hat{\theta}_n \) will be defined to be

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{E(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2}{E(T_n^{(1)} - \theta)^2}. \]

When estimating \( \phi \), the equation

\[ E(Y_1) = \phi + \int \frac{\theta}{\phi} [1-F(y)]^n dy \]

suggests the estimator

\[ Y_1 - \int_{Y_1}^{Y_n} (1 - \hat{F}(y))^n dy = 2Y_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{i}{n} \right)^n - \left( 1 - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)^n \right\} Y_i \]

and so, for large \( n \), we will consider the estimator

\[ \hat{\phi}_n = 2Y_1 - (e-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-i} Y_i. \]

It should be noted at this stage that although we will only investigate properties of estimators based on continuous random variables, in the case of discrete variables, arguments like those above lead to similar estimators to \( \hat{\theta}_n \) and \( \hat{\phi}_n \). For example, suppose a random variable with distribution function \( F \) can take only the integer values \( \phi < \phi + 1 < \ldots < \theta \) with positive probability. As above, suppose \( Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n \) are the order statistics based on a random sample of size \( n \) from \( F \). Then

\[ E(Y_n) = \phi F^n(\phi) + \sum_{y=\phi+1}^{\theta} y [F^n(y) - F^n(y-1)] = \theta + 1 - \sum_{y=\phi}^{\theta} F^n(y) \]

and so the suggested estimator of \( \theta \) is

\[ \frac{Y_n}{Y_1} - 1 + \sum_{y=Y_1}^{n} F^n(y). \]
Now
\[ \sum_{y=Y_1}^{Y_n} F^n(y) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{i}{n} \right) (Y_{i+1} - Y_i) \]
since the left hand side has \( Y_{i+1} - Y_i \) terms equal to \( \left( \frac{i}{n} \right), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \) and \( \hat{F}^n(Y^n) = 1 \). Thus the estimator of \( \theta \) is exactly as in the continuous case.

3. Asymptotic Efficiency

According to Gnedenko (1943), when \( \theta \) is finite there are only two possible nondegenerate limiting distributions of normalized values of \( Y_n \). In this paper we will only derive results for the case
\[ F^n(y) \sim \exp \left\{- \left( \frac{\theta - y}{\theta - u_n} \right)^{1/\nu} \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \]
for which the necessary and sufficient condition is that for \( c > 0, \)
\[ \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{1-F(cy+\theta)}{1-F(y+\theta)} = c^{1/\nu} \]
where \( u_n = F^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \).

In this section we will discuss the asymptotic efficiency of \( T_n^{(1)} \) relative to \( \hat{\theta}_n \) when \( F \) satisfies the above condition.

First we require expressions for means, variances and covariances of order statistics. The following expressions are not difficult to obtain:

\[ E(Y_{n-1}) = n(n-1) \int_0^{1} F^{-1}(x)x^{n-i-1}(1-x)^i \, dx, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \]
\[ E(Y_{n-1} | Y_{n-j} = y) = (n-j-1) \int_0^{1} F^{-1}(y)x^{n-i-1}(1-x)^i \, dx, \quad 0 \leq j < i \leq n-1. \]

If
\[ F^n(y) \sim \exp \left\{- \left( \frac{\theta - y}{\theta - u_n} \right)^{1/\nu} \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \]
then $F^{-1}(x) \sim \theta - (\theta - u_n)^{-\log x^n} \nu^{-1}$ as $n \to \infty$ and, using (4), we find that for $i$ small

$$E(Y_{n-1}^{y_i}) \sim \theta - (\theta - u_n)^{-\log x^n} \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(i+1)} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad (6)$$

and, from (5), when $i$ is small and $i > j \geq 0$,

$$E(Y_{n-i}^{y_i}|Y_{n-j}^{y_j}) \sim \theta - (\theta - u_n)^{-\log x^n} \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(i-j)} \int_0^\infty \left\{ z + \left( \frac{\theta - y_j}{\theta - u_n} \right)^{1/v} \right\} e^{-z} z^{i-j-1} dz \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad . \quad (7)$$

It is convenient to write

$$\text{Cov}(Y_{n-i}^{y_i}, Y_{n-j}^{y_j}) = E(Y_{n-i}^{y_i} - \theta) \{ Y_{n-j}^{y_j} - E(Y_{n-j}^{y_j}) \}$$

$$= n^{-1} \left[ \int \{ y - E(Y_{n-j}^{y_j}) \} E(Y_{n-i}^{y_i} - \theta) \{ Y_{n-j}^{y_j} - 1 \} \{ 1 - F(y) \} \} \right] dF(y)$$

from which, using (6) and (7), we obtain

$$\text{Cov}(Y_{n-i}^{y_i}, Y_{n-j}^{y_j}) \sim \frac{(\theta - u_n)^2}{\Gamma(i+1) \Gamma(i-j)} \int_0^\infty \left\{ t^{\nu+j} - \frac{\Gamma(v+j+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)} t^j \right\} e^{-t} \int_0^\infty (z+t)^{\nu} e^{-z} z^{i-j-1} dz dt \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad \text{and finally, for} \quad i > j \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad i \quad \text{small,}$$

$$\text{Cov}(Y_{n-i}^{y_i}, Y_{n-j}^{y_j}) \sim (\theta - u_n)^2 \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(i+1)} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(2v+i+1)}{\Gamma(v+1)} - \frac{\Gamma(v+i+1)}{\Gamma(i+1)} \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad . \quad (8)$$

Similar calculations to those above give

$$\text{Var}(Y_{n-i}^{y_i}) \sim (\theta - u_n)^2 \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(2v+i+1)}{\Gamma(i+1)} - \frac{\Gamma^2(v+i+1)}{\Gamma^2(i+1)} \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad . \quad (9)$$

for $i > 0$ and $i$ small. Thus (8) also holds for $i = j$.

From (6), (8) and (9) we now have

$$(\theta - u_n)^{-2} \text{E} (T_n^{(1)} - \theta)^2 \sim \frac{(2v^2-v+1)}{(v+1)} \Gamma(2v+1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad . \quad \quad (10)$$

We will now find an expression for $E(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2$. From (3) and (6) we obtain

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n^{(1)}) \sim \left( \frac{(1-e^{-1})^{-2}}{\Gamma(v+1)} \right) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad . \quad \quad (9)$$
and, using (3), (8), (9) and (11),
\[
(\theta - u_n)^2 \text{E}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2 \sim 4 \Gamma(2\nu + 1) + 4 \Gamma^2(\nu + 1)(1 - e^{-1})^{-\nu + 2\Gamma(2\nu + 1)(1 - e^{-1})^2(1 - e^{-2})^{-2\nu - 1}}
\]
\[
- \Gamma^2(\nu + 1)(1 - e^{-1})^{-2\nu} - 4 \Gamma(\nu + 1)(1 - e^{-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-i} \Gamma(2\nu + i + 1) \Gamma(\nu + i + 1)
\]
\[
+ 2(1 - e^{-1})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-i} \Gamma(2\nu + i + 1) \Gamma(\nu + i + 1) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} e^{-j} \Gamma(\nu + j + 1) \Gamma(j + 1)
\]
as \( n \to \infty \). \hspace{1cm} (12)

Thus the asymptotic efficiency of \( T^{(1)}_n \) relative to \( \hat{\theta}_n \) is given by
\[
\eta(\nu) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{E}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2}{\text{E}(T^{(1)}_n - \theta)^2}
\]
which equals the ratio of the expressions on the right hand sides of (12) and (11). Some values of \( \eta(\nu) \) are given to three significant figures in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \nu )</th>
<th>1/5</th>
<th>1/4</th>
<th>1/3</th>
<th>1/2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \eta(\nu) )</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.666</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value \( \nu = 1 \) corresponds to densities \( f(x) \) which are truncated at \( \theta \); that is, \( 0 < f(\theta) < \infty \). Thus \( \hat{\theta}_n \) provides a solution to the problem of Robson and Whitlock, though it is slightly inferior to \( T^{(1)}_n \) for values of \( \nu \) other than \( \nu = 1 \). However, in the next section we will improve on \( \hat{\theta}_n \) to produce an estimator with smaller asymptotic mean squared error than an improved \( T^{(1)}_n \) for all values of \( \nu \) considered in Table 1. The value \( \nu = \frac{1}{2} \) corresponds to densities \( f(x) \) with \( f(\theta) \) equal to zero or infinity, but with \( f'(\theta) \) nonzero and finite and, in
general, \( \nu = \frac{1}{k+1} \) corresponds to a density which is zero or infinite at \( \theta \) and whose first finite, nonzero derivative at \( \theta \) is its \( k \)th derivative. The derivatives mentioned here are of course all left derivatives at \( \theta \).

4. Improving on \( \hat{\theta}_n \).

Suppose now that the value of \( \nu \) corresponding to the upper tail of the density \( f \) is known, though the form of the function is unknown. This situation seems likely to occur in practical problems, since for example one might know that he is sampling from a distribution which is truncated at \( \theta \), so \( \nu = 1 \). In this case it does not seem to be possible in general, by considering a function of \( Y_n \) alone, to improve on the estimator \( Y_n \) either in the sense of reducing the order of magnitude of its bias or of reducing its mean squared error. For example, if we consider estimators proportional to \( Y_n \), then the constant of proportionality which minimizes the mean squared error of the 'estimator' is

\[
c(\alpha) = 1 + \Gamma(\alpha+1)\theta^{-1}(\theta-u_n) + \theta^{-2} \theta_0[(\theta-u_n)^2]
\]

which is a function of \( \theta \).

On the other hand, the estimator

\[
\hat{\theta}_n^{(1)} = Y_n + \nu^{-1}(Y_n - Y_{n-1})
\]

has bias of order of magnitude \( (\theta-u_n)^2 \) compared with order \( \theta-u_n \) for \( \theta_n^{(1)} \). Using (6) and (8) we easily find

\[
(\theta-u_n)^{-2} E(\hat{\theta}_n^{(1)} - \theta)^2 \sim \Gamma(2\nu+1) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty
\]

and

\[
(\theta-u_n)^{-2} E(Y_n - \theta)^2 \sim \Gamma(2\nu+1) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\]
so that bias reduction in $\hat{T}_n^{(1)}$ is achieved at the expense of increased asymptotic mean squared error except for $\nu = 1$ where there is no change. The estimator

$$\hat{\theta}_n = Y_n + \left\{1 - (1 - e^{-1})^{-\nu}\right\}^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{-i} Y_{n-i}$$

also has bias of order of magnitude $(\theta - u_n)^2$ compared with order $\theta - u_n$ for $\hat{\theta}_n$. Some values of

$$\eta_1(\nu) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{E(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2}{E(\hat{T}_n^{(1)} - \theta)^2},$$

the asymptotic efficiency of $\hat{T}_n^{(1)}$ relative to $\hat{\theta}_n$, are given to three significant figures in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\nu$</th>
<th>1/5</th>
<th>1/4</th>
<th>1/3</th>
<th>1/2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_1(\nu)$</td>
<td>.680</td>
<td>.683</td>
<td>.689</td>
<td>.700</td>
<td>.731</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Values of the asymptotic efficiency of $\hat{T}_n^{(1)}$ relative to $\hat{\theta}_n$.

However, our main aim is to construct estimators with mean squared error as small as possible. When $\nu$ is known, the estimator of $\theta$ of the form

$$\bar{T}_n^{(1)} = Y_n + c_1(\nu) (Y_n - Y_{n-1})$$

with smallest mean squared error is the one for which $c_1(\nu) = 1/2\nu$ and, for this choice of $c_1(\nu)$, we can show that

$$(\theta - u_n)^2 E(\bar{T}_n^{(1)} - \theta)^2 \sim \frac{\Gamma(2\nu + 2)}{2(1+\nu)} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

The estimator of the form

$$\bar{\theta}_n = Y_n + c_2(\nu) \left\{Y_n - (1 - e^{-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{-i} Y_{n-i} \right\}$$

with smallest mean squared error is the one for which $c_2(\nu) = a_1(\nu)/a_2(\nu)$, where
\[ a_1(v) = \Gamma(v+1)(1-e^{-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-i} \frac{\Gamma(2v+i+1)}{\Gamma(v+i+1)} = \Gamma(2v+1) \]

and

\[ a_2(v) = \Gamma(2v+1) \{1+(1-e^{-1})^2(1-e^{-2}, 2v-1) - 2\Gamma(v+1)(1-e^{-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-i} \frac{\Gamma(2v+i+1)}{\Gamma(v+i+1)} \}

+ 2(1-e^{-1})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-i} \frac{\Gamma(2v+i+1)}{\Gamma(v+i+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} e^{-j} \frac{\Gamma(v+j+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)} \].

The asymptotic efficiency of \( \overline{\theta}_n^{(1)} \) relative to \( \overline{\theta}_n \) for the above choices of \( c_1(v) \) and \( c_2(v) \) is given by

\[ \eta_2(v) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{E(\overline{\theta}_n^{(1)} - \theta)^2}{E(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta)^2} \]

Some values of \( \eta_2(v) \) are given to three significant figures in Table 3.

**Table 3:** Values of \( c_2(v) \) and the asymptotic efficiency of \( \overline{\theta}_n^{(1)} \) relative to \( \overline{\theta}_n \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( v )</th>
<th>1/5</th>
<th>1/4</th>
<th>1/3</th>
<th>1/2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( c_2(v) )</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta_2(v) )</td>
<td>.819</td>
<td>.824</td>
<td>.831</td>
<td>.844</td>
<td>.877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For practical purposes the simplicity of the estimators \( T_n^{(1)} \) and \( T_n^{(1)} \) makes them attractive. \( T_n^{(1)} \) could be used when \( v \) is unknown, though \( \overline{\theta}_n \) is a much better estimator if \( \theta \) happens to be a truncation point. \( T_n^{(1)} \) could be used when \( v \) is known since even though it is always inefficient relative to \( \overline{\theta}_n \), its efficiency doesn't drop below 81% for the values of \( v \) considered here.
5. Confidence Limits For $\theta$.

If

$$ P^n(y) \sim \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\theta - y}{\theta - u_n}\right)^{1/\nu}\right\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, $$

then

$$ \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left\{\frac{\theta - y}{\theta - u_n} \leq x\right\} = 1 - \exp(-x^{1/\nu}) \quad \text{for} \quad x \geq 0 $$

and it is not difficult to prove that $$(\theta - T_n^{(1)})/(\theta - u_n)$$ also has a non-degenerate limiting distribution which is not a function of $\theta$. This leads us to consider $$(\theta - Y_n)/(\theta - T_n^{(1)})$$, or equivalently, $$(\theta - Y_n)/(Y_n - Y_{n-1})$$ as the basis for constructing confidence limits for $\theta$.

When

$$ P^n(y) \sim \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\theta - y}{\theta - u_n}\right)^{1/\nu}\right\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty $$

we find

$$ \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left\{\frac{\theta - y}{Y_n - Y_{n-1}} \leq x\right\} = \left[\frac{x}{1+x}\right]^{1/\nu}, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty \quad (13) $$

and hence, whatever the values of $\theta$, $\phi$ or any other parameters of the distribution $F$,

$$ \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left[Y_n + \{(\alpha^{\nu-1})^{-1}(Y_n - Y_{n-1})\} \leq \theta \leq Y_n + \{(1-\alpha^{\nu-1})^{-1}(Y_n - Y_{n-1})\} = 1 - \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, n \to \infty \quad (14) $$

$$ \lim_{n \to \infty} P[\theta \geq Y_n + \{\alpha^{-\nu-1}\}(Y_n - Y_{n-1})] = 1 - \alpha $$

and

$$ \lim_{n \to \infty} P[\theta \leq Y_n + \{(1-\alpha)^{-\nu-1}\}(Y_n - Y_{n-1})] = 1 - \alpha. $$
When \( \theta \) is a truncation point \( \nu = 1 \) and the upper bound for \( \theta \) in the last statement equals \( Y_n + (\alpha^{-1} - 1)(Y_n - Y_{n-1}) \), which is Robson and Whitlock's approximate upper confidence bound for \( \theta \).

6. Large Sample Tests of Hypotheses About \( \theta \).

Consider the hypotheses \( H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \) and \( H_1 : \theta = \theta_1 (\neq \theta_0) \) and the test with rejection region for \( H_0 : (\theta_0 - Y_n)/(Y_n - Y_{n-1}) > (1-\alpha)^{-1} \).

If we denote the power function of this test by \( \beta_n(\theta) \) and, if

\[
F_n(y) \sim \exp\left\{ -\left( \frac{y}{\theta - u_n} \right)^{1/\nu} \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,
\]

from (13) we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n(\theta_0) = \alpha \), whatever the values of \( \phi \) or any other parameters of the distribution \( F \). Thus, when \( n \) is large the test will have size approximately equal to \( \alpha \). Also, we find the following asymptotic expressions for \( \beta_n(\theta) : \)

\[
\beta_n(\theta) \sim 1 - (1-\alpha)\{(1-\alpha)^{-\nu} - 1\}/\nu(1-1/\nu)\left\{ \frac{\theta - \theta_0}{\theta - u_n} \right\}^{1/\nu(1-1/\nu)}v^{1/\nu-1}\Gamma(1/\nu),
\]

\[
\exp\left\{ -(1-\alpha)^{-\nu} - 1\left\{ \frac{\theta - \theta_0}{\theta - u_n} \right\}^{1/\nu} \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad \text{for} \quad \theta < \theta_0, \quad (14)
\]

\[
\beta_n(\theta) \sim \alpha \exp\left\{ -\left( \frac{\theta - \theta_0}{\theta - u_n} \right)^{1/\nu} \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad \text{for} \quad \theta > \theta_0. \quad (15)
\]

These expressions are not necessarily good representations of \( \beta_n(\theta) \) for \( \theta \) near \( \theta_0 \), except when \( \nu = 1 \). However, for \( \theta \) not near \( \theta_0 \) and \( n \) large, \( |(\theta_0 - \theta)/(\theta - u_n)| \) will be large, so that both expressions are decreasing functions of \( (\theta - \theta_0)/(\theta - u_n) \). Thus, if \( (\theta - \theta_0)/(\theta - u_n) \) is an increasing function
of $\theta$, the above test is a test of $H_0 : \theta \geq \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta < \theta_0$ with size approximately $\alpha$ and power function a decreasing function of $\theta$ when $n$ is large.

For a test of $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1 (> \theta_0)$, if we use the rejection region for $H_0 : (\theta_0 - Y_n)/(n^{-1/2}) \leq (\alpha^{-1})^{-1}$ and denote the power function by $\beta^*_n(\theta)$, using (13) we find

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta^*_n(\theta_0) = \alpha \quad \text{whatever the values of the parameters of } F$$

other than $\theta$. We find the following asymptotic expressions for $\beta^*_n(\theta)$:

$$\beta^*_n(\theta) \approx \alpha (\alpha^{-1})^{1/\nu} (1-1/\nu) \left[ \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\theta - u_n} \right]^{1/\nu (1-1/\nu)} (1-1/\nu)^{1/\nu}$$

$$\exp \left[ \left\{ (\alpha^{-1})^{1/\nu} \right\} \right] \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \text{ for } \theta < \theta_0, \quad (16)$$

$$\beta^*_n(\theta) \approx 1 - (1-\alpha) \exp \left[ \left\{ \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\theta - u_n} \right\}^{1/\nu} \right] \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \text{ for } \theta > \theta_0. \quad (17)$$

As in the previous case, except when $\nu = 1$, the expressions in (16) and (17) are not necessarily good representations of the power function when $\theta$ is near $\theta_0$. Also, if

$$(\theta_0 - \theta)/\theta - u_n \quad \text{is an increasing function of } \theta, \quad \text{the test is a test of } H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0 \text{ versus } H_1 : \theta > \theta_0 \text{ with size approximately } \alpha \text{ and power function increasing in } \theta \text{ when } n \text{ is large.}$$
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**Abstract:**

Please see reverse side.
STATISTICAL INFERENCE FOR BOUNDS OF RANDOM VARIABLES

Robson and Whitlock (1964) considered point estimation and confidence limits for the upper bound of a random variable when the bound was known to be a truncation point. However, their approach to the point estimation problem failed to produce an estimator with smaller mean squared error than the largest order statistic from a random sample. In this paper we will construct point estimators of the bounds of random variables which are substantially better estimators than the extreme order statistics for many classes of random variables, including those whose distributions are truncated at one or both ends. We will also construct confidence limits and tests of hypotheses for bounds. The main results are large sample results.