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1. Introduction.

Consider a circle of unit circumference and $n$ points taken from a uniform distribution on it. Let the successive arc-lengths or spacings between these points be denoted by $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$ with $S_1 + S_2 + \ldots + S_n = 1$. Such spacings have been widely studied, see, e.g., Holst (1979), (1980a), (1980b) and the references given therein.

Let $S_{(n)}$ be the largest spacing, i.e., $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k$. In various ways it can be proved that

$$P(n \leq x) \sim \exp(-e^{-x})$$

when $n \to \infty$; for an elementary proof see Holst (1980b), Theorem 3.1.

In Section 2 we will give a rigorous proof of the convergence of the moment generating function. This does not seem to have been done before.

Let each of the $n$ points be the left endpoints, say, of arcs on the circle, all of length $a$. It is easy to see that the whole circumference is covered if and only if $S_{(n)} \leq a$, and that the uncovered part of the circumference, i.e., the vacancy, has length

$$V_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (S_k - a)_{+}.$$ 

Exact formulas for the distribution and moments of $V_n$ are given in Siegel (1978). Results on the asymptotic behavior of $V_n$ are obtained in Siegel (1979a). Depending on how $n \to \infty$ and $a \to 0$, different cases occur. For the case $n \to \infty$, $a \to 0$, such that $P(V_n = 0) \to p$, $0 < p < 1$, it is proved in Section 3 that the moment generating function
of $2n V_n$ is converging to that of the noncentral chi-square with zero degrees of freedom, i.e., a Poisson-mixture of chi-square distributions with even degrees of freedom and a one point distribution in zero, c.f. Siegel (1979b) for further aspects of this distribution. This is a slight generalization of Siegel (1979a), Theorem 3.2, using quite different methods. In Section 4 the case when $n \to \infty$, $a \to 0$ such that $P(V_n = 0) \to 0$ and $\lim \inf na > 0$ is studied. The limiting distribution of $(nV_n - E(nV_n))/(\text{Var}(nV_n))^{1/2}$ is a standard normal. Also, the moment-generating functions converge in a neighborhood of zero implying convergence of all moments. This extends results by Siegel (1979a), who considered the special case $na = \lambda \ln(n/\beta)$, where $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\beta > 0$ and proved convergence of moments and distributions. The methods used below are quite different from Siegel's.

The problems discussed above can obviously also be formulated as taking $n - 1$ points from a uniform distribution on the unit interval, [0,1]. The endpoints correspond to one of random points on the circumference.

2. **The Largest Spacing.**

The exact distribution of $S_{(n)}$ can be found in many places, see, e.g., Holst (1980b), Section 2, and the references given therein. There the moments are also given and, e.g.,

$$E(n S_{(n)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} = \ln n + \gamma + o(1),$$

where
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} - \ln n \right) = \gamma,
\]

is Euler's constant. Hence, \( n S_{(n)} \) is of the order of magnitude \( \ln n \) when \( n \to \infty \). It is also well known that

\[
P(n S_{(n)} - \ln n \leq x) \to \exp(-e^{-x}),
\]

when \( n \to \infty \). For an (almost) elementary proof of this see Holst (1980b), Theorem 3.1. Barton and David (1956), page 86, considered convergence of a certain generating function. Before stating a theorem on convergence of the momentgenerating function of \( n S_{(n)} - \ln n \) we will recall some facts about spacings.

Let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \) be i.i.d. exponential random variables with mean 1, and let \( X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)} \) denote the corresponding order statistic. Then the following representations hold

\[
\mathcal{L}(n S_1, \ldots, n S_n) = \mathcal{L}\left(X_1, \ldots, X_n \bigg| \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k = n\right)
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{L}(n X_{(1)}, (n-1)(X_{(2)} - X_{(1)}), \ldots, 1(X_{(n)} - X_{(n-1)})) = \mathcal{L}(X_n, X_{n-1}, \ldots, X_1).
\]

This is easily proved using simple properties of the Poisson process, or see, e.g., Feller (1971), pages 19, 75-76.
Theorem 2.1. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ be the spacings of $n$ points taken from the uniform distribution on the circumference of a unit circle and set $S_n = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k$. Then for $t < 1$

$$E(\exp(t(n S_n - \ln n))) \to \Gamma(1 - t),$$

when $n \to \infty$, where the gamma function can be written

$$\Gamma(1 - t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} d\exp(-e^{-x}).$$

From this Theorem we immediately have by the continuity theorem for moment generating functions that:

Corollary 2.1. Let $Y$ have the extreme value distribution $\exp(-e^{-x})$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}(n S_n - \ln n) \to \mathcal{L}(Y),$$

and, for $r > 0$,

$$E((n S_n - \ln n)^r) \to E(Y^r),$$

when $n \to \infty$.

Before proving the Theorem we will obtain the following lemma which also is of some independent interest, at least the method of deriving it.
Lemma 2.1. For $t < 1$ and $n \geq 2$,

$$E(\exp(tn S_{(n)})) = (2\pi n^{n+1} \ e^{-n/n!})^{-1} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(\exp(t \ X_{(n)} + iu(\bar{X} - 1))) \ du$$

where $X_{(n)} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} X_k$, $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k/n$, and $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are i.i.d. exponential random variables with mean 1.

Proof. From the representation of order statistics given above, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}(X_{(n)}, \bar{X}) = \mathcal{L}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{X_k}{k}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{X_k}{n}\right).$$

Thus

$$E(\exp(t \ X_{(n)} + iu \ \bar{X})) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} E(\exp(X_k(t/k + iu/n)))$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - t/k - iu/n)^{-1},$$

which clearly is an integrable function of $u$ for $n \geq 2$. Using conditional expectation we can write
\[ E(\exp(t \, X_{(n)} + iu \, \bar{X})) \]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(\exp(t \, X_{(n)} + iu \, \bar{X}) | \bar{X} = x) \cdot \frac{f(x)}{\bar{X}} \, dx \]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iux} E(\exp(t \, X_{(n)}) | \bar{X} = x) \cdot \frac{f(x)}{\bar{X}} \, dx \]

where \( f(x) \) is the density function of \( \bar{X} \), which is \( \Gamma(n,1/n) \)-distributed. By the integrability of \( E(\exp(t \, X_{(n)} + iu \, \bar{X})) \) it follows by Fourier's inversion formula that

\[ E(\exp(t \, X_{(n)}) | \bar{X} = x) \cdot \frac{f(x)}{\bar{X}} \]

\[ = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(\exp(t \, X_{(n)} + iu \, \bar{X})) \cdot e^{-iux} \, du. \]

Thus by the representation of spacings we finally have

\[ E(\exp(t \, n \, S_{(n)})) = E(\exp(t \, X_{(n)}) | \bar{X} = 1) \]

\[ = (2\pi \, f(1))^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(\exp(t \, X_{(n)} + iu \, \bar{X})) \cdot e^{-iu} \, du \]

\[ = (2\pi \, n^{n+1} \, e^{-n/n!})^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(\exp(t \, X_{(n)} + iu(\bar{X} - 1))) \, du, \]

proving the assertion.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** From the lemma, the representation of order statistics of the exponential distribution, and Stirling's formula, we have
\[
E \left( \exp \left( t \left( n S(n) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \right) \right) \right) \\
\sim (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{n} E(\exp((t/k + iv/n^{3/2})(X_k - 1))) \, dv \\
= (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left( iu \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{t}{k(1-t)} \right) / n^{3/2} \right) \\
\cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left[ \exp(-iu/(n^{3/2}(1-t/k)))/(1 - iu/(n^{3/2}(1-t/k))) \right] \, dv \\
\cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( e^{-t/k}/(1-t/k) \right),
\]

for \( t < 1 \). Now for fixed \( t < 1 \), when \( n \to \infty \),

\[
\prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( e^{-t/k}/(1-t/k) \right) \\
= E \left( \exp \left( t \left( X(n) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \right) \right) \right) \to e^{\gamma t} \Gamma(1-t),
\]

where \( \gamma \) is Euler's constant, c.f. Holst (1980b), Theorem 3.3. The integrand in the integral above is dominated by

\[
g_n(u) = (1 + cu^2/n)^{-n/2}
\]

for some \( c > 0 \). Furthermore,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(u) \, du = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(u) \, du.
\]
For fixed $t < 1$, when $n \to \infty$,

$$\exp\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{t}{k - t}\right) \to 1,$$

and

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{iu}{(n^2(1 - t/k))}\right) / \left(1 - \frac{iu}{(n^2(1 - t/k))}\right)\right] \to \exp(-u^2/2).$$

Thus it follows from the extended form of Lebesgue's convergence theorem, see, e.g., Rao (1973), page 136, that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E(\exp(t(nS(n) - ln n)))$$

$$= e^{-\gamma t} \left(2\pi\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^2/2} du e^{\gamma t} \Gamma(1 - t) = \Gamma(1 - t),$$

proving the assertion of the theorem.

**Remark.** With small modifications in the proof above the convergence of the moment generating function of any upper extreme value $nS(n-j) - ln n$ follows. Central order statistics are considered in Holst (1980b), Section 5.

3. **Positive Coverage Probability.**

In this section the coverage distribution, or equivalently the vacancy, of random arcs is studied, when the complete coverage probability
stays strictly positive. With the notation of the introduction we can write

\[ p_n = P(V_n = 0) = P\left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} (S_k - a)_+ = 0 \right) \]

\[ = P(S_{(n)} \leq a) = P(n S_{(n)} - \ln n \leq na - \ln n) . \]

From the results of the previous section we see that

\[ p_n \to p , \quad 0 < p < 1 \iff \]

\[ na - \ln n + \ln \ln(1/p) , \]

i.e., a complete coverage probability strictly between 0 and 1 is equivalent to \( na - \ln n = 0(1) \). It also follows that

\[ p_n \to 1 \iff na - \ln n \to +\infty , \]

and,

\[ p_n \to 0 \iff na - \ln n \to -\infty . \]

Another way of stating the first two cases is

\[ P(V_n = 0) \to e^{-\beta} , \quad 0 < \beta < \infty . \]

The limit behavior of \( V_n \) is given by the following theorem. In the next section the case \( p_n \to 0 \) is considered.
Theorem 3.1. Let \( n \) arcs, each of length \( a \), be placed at random on a unit circumference and \( V_n \) be the length of the uncovered part of the circumference. Assume that \( n \to \infty, a \to 0 \), such that \( P(V_n = 0) \to e^{-\beta} \), \( 0 \leq \beta < \infty \). Then, for \( t < 1 \), when \( n \to \infty \),

\[
E(\exp(tn V_n)) \to e^{-\beta+\beta/(1-t)}.
\]

In Siegel (1979b) the noncentral chi-square distribution with zero degrees of freedom is discussed. A consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following corollary which is also proved in Siegel (1979a) by the method of moments.

Corollary 3.1. Let \( Z \) be a random variable with a noncentral chi-square distribution with zero degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter \( \beta \). Then, when \( n \to \infty \),

\[
\mathcal{L}(2n V_n) \to \mathcal{L}(Z),
\]

and,

\[
E((2n V_n)^r) \to E(Z^r),
\]

for all \( r > 0 \).

Before proving Theorem 3.1 the following lemma will be proved.
Lemma 3.1. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be i.i.d. exponential random variables with mean 1. Then for $t < 1$ and $n \geq 2$,

$$E(\exp(t V_n)) = (2\pi n^{n+1} e^{-n/n})^{-1} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E\left(\exp\left(t \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - na)_+ + iu(\bar{X} - 1)\right)\right) du.$$ 

Proof. By the independence between the $X_i's$ and after some elementary calculation one obtains

$$E\left(\exp\left(t \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - na)_+ + iu(\bar{X})\right)\right) = [E(\exp(t(X_1 - na)_+ + iu X_1/n))]^n$$

$$= (1 - iu/n)^{-n} [1 + t \exp(-na(1 - iu/n))/(1 - t - iu/n)]^n,$$

which is integrable in $u$ for $n \geq 2$. Using the representation of spacings with exponential random variables we have

$$\mathcal{L}(n V_n) = \mathcal{L} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - na)_+ \mid \bar{X} = 1 \right).$$

The rest of the proof proceeds like that of Lemma 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By the lemma above and Stirling's formula we get
\[ E(\exp(tn \frac{V_n}{n})) \sim (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \exp(-iu/n^{3/2})/(1 - iu/n^{3/2}) \right]^n du \cdot [1 + t \exp(-na(1 - iu/n^{3/2}))/(1 - t - iu/n^{3/2})]^n du. \]

As \( na > \ln n \) we get for fixed \( t < 1 \) uniformly in \( u \) that

\[ |(1 + t \exp(-na(1 - iu/n^{3/2}))/(1 - t - iu/n^{3/2})|^n \leq (1 + K_1 |t|/n |1 - t - iu/n^{3/2}|)^n \leq K_2 < \infty. \]

As

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |1 - iu/n^{3/2}|^n du = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^2/2} du = (2\pi)^{3/2}, \]

and pointwise

\[ [1 + t e^{-na} \cdot \exp(\frac{iu \, na}{n^{3/2}})/(1 - t - iu/n^{3/2})]^n \to \exp(\beta t/(1 - t)) \]
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it follows by the extended form of Lebesgue's convergence theorem that

$$E(\exp(n V_n)) \to (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-u^2/2) \cdot \exp(\beta t/(1 - t)) \, du$$

$$= e^{-\beta} e^{\beta/(1-t)},$$

for $t < 1$, which proves the theorem.

**Remark.** The function

$$e^{-\beta} e^{\beta/(1-t)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(e^{-\beta} \beta^k/k!ight) \cdot (1 - t)^{-k}$$

is the moment generating function of $\sum_{j=1}^{N} X_j$, where $N, X_1, X_2, \ldots$, are independent random variables, $N$ Poisson with mean $\beta$ and the $X$'s exponential with mean 1. One can interpret $N$ as the number of gaps, i.e., the number of regions on the circumference which are not covered by any of the arcs. This can be proved in a similar way as Theorem 3.1 using the indicator function $I(\cdot > na)$ instead of $(\cdot - na)_+$. Clearly

$$P(N = 0) = e^{-\beta}$$

is the probability of complete coverage. It is intuitively clear that the lengths of the gaps (after scaling with $n$) should be independent exponential random variables. Because an arbitrary spacing $n S_k$ converges in distribution to an exponential with mean 1 and depending on the lack of memory of the exponential distribution the excess (of any) over $na$ has also in the limit an exponential distribution
with mean 1. Theorem 3.1 is thus very reasonable. One can also say that the dependence structure between the spacings disappears in the case $na \sim \ln n$. Actually the dependence is asymptotically negligible as soon as $na \to +\infty$ which will be apparent from the results of the next section.


It is pointed out in the previous section that

$$p_n = P(V_n = 0) \to 0 \iff na - \ln n \to -\infty.$$ 

Two cases are of interest, namely, $na \to +\infty$, but $na - \ln n \to -\infty$, and $na \to a$, $0 < a < \infty$. The case $na \to 0$ means that the maximum covered length, $na$, is tending to zero and, therefore, $V_n \to 1$. Let us introduce

$$\sigma_n^2 = 2n(e^{-na} - e^{-2na}(1 + na + (na)^2/2)).$$

In the case $na \to +\infty$ we have $\sigma_n^2 \sim 2n e^{-na}$, and $\sigma_n \to +\infty$ if and only if $na - \ln n \to -\infty$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that $n \to \infty$ and $a \to 0$ in such a way that $\sigma_n \to +\infty$, and $\lim inf na > 0$. Then, when $n \to \infty$,

$$E(\exp(t(V_n - n e^{-na})/\sigma_n)) \to e^{t^2/2},$$

for all sufficiently small $|t|$.
Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we find that

$$E(\exp(t(n V_n - n e^{-na})/\sigma_n))$$

$$\sim (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(u) h_n(u, t) du,$$

where

$$g_n(u) = (\exp(-iu/n^{1/2})/(1 - iu/n^{1/2}))^n$$

$$\to \exp(-u^2/2), \ n \to \infty,$$

and,

$$h_n(u, t) = \exp(-tn e^{-na}/\sigma_n)$$

$$\cdot (1 + (t \exp(-na(1 - iu/n^{1/2})/\sigma_n))/(1 - t/\sigma_n - iu/n^{1/2}))^n.$$

For fixed $t$ and $u$ one finds after some calculation that

$$g_n(u) h_n(u, t)$$

$$= \exp(-(u - it e^{-na}(na + 1)n^{1/2}/\sigma_n)^2/2 + t^2/2 + o(1)).$$

Thus one would expect
$$E(\exp(t(n V_n - n e^{-na})/\sigma_n))$$

$$\sim (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-(u + O(1))^2/2 + o(1))du \cdot e^{t^2/2} \sim e^{t^2/2} .$$

The problem to justify these approximations is not trivial because $o(1)$ is not uniform in $u$.

We will consider the integral above over three different regions, namely, $I_1 = \{u; |u| \leq n^{\frac{3}{4}}\}$, $I_2 = \{u; n^{\frac{3}{4}} < |u| \leq \delta n^{\frac{3}{2}}\}$, and $I_3 = \{u; \delta n^{\frac{3}{2}} < |u|\}$ where $\delta > 0$ is a "sufficiently" small number. The idea is the same as that of proving local limit theorems using characteristic functions, see, e.g., Feller (1971), page 516.

In the interval $I_1$, one finds by expansion that uniformly in $u$

$$|h_n(u,t)| \leq K_1 < \infty ,$$

for some constant $K_1$. Thus

$$\lim_{A \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{u} \left| \int_{A}^{n^{\frac{3}{4}}} g_n(u) h_n(u,t)du \right|$$

$$\leq \lim_{A \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{A}^{n^{\frac{3}{4}}} (1 + u^2/n)^{-n/2} K_1 du = 0 .$$

Using this it follows by the expansion above that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{I_1} g_n(u) h_n(u,t)du$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-u^2/2)du \cdot e^{t^2/2} = e^{t^2/2} .$$
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In the region \( I_2 \) with \( \delta > 0 \) fixed sufficiently small one finds
in a similar way that

\[
|h_n(u,t)| \leq K_2 \exp(K_2 |t|^{\frac{3}{n}})
\]

for some constant \( K_2 < \infty \). Thus

\[
\left| \int_{I_2} g_n(u) h_n(u,t) du \right|
\]

\[
\leq \int_{I_2} (1 + u^2/n)^{-n/2} K_2 \exp(K_2 |t|^{\frac{3}{n}}) du
\]

\[
\leq K_3 n^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp(-K_4 n^{\frac{3}{2}}) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,
\]

for some constants \( 0 < K_3, K_4 < \infty \), and \(|t|\) sufficiently small.

Finally for \( I_3 \) we find for some constants \( 0 \leq K_5, K_6, K_7 < \infty \)
that

\[
\left| \int_{I_3} g_n(u) h_n(u,t) du \right|
\]

\[
\leq K_5 \int_{\delta n^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty} (1 + u^2/n)^{-1} du (1 + \delta^2)^{-n/2} \exp(K_6 n^{\frac{3}{2}})
\]

\[
\leq K_7 n^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 + \delta^2)^{-n/2} \exp(K_6 n^{\frac{3}{2}}) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.
\]

Combining the results above gives

\[
(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(u) h_n(u,t) du \to e^{t^2/2},
\]
proving the assertion of the theorem.

In Siegel (1978) formulas for moments of the vacancy are obtained. Either by using these or by direct calculation it is not hard to show that

$$E(n \ V_n) - n \ e^{-\alpha n} = O(1),$$

and,

$$\frac{\text{Var}(n \ V_n)}{\sigma_n} + 1,$$

when $n \to \infty$, $\alpha \to 0$, such that $\sigma_n \to +\infty$ and $\lim \inf n\alpha > 0$. Thus the following corollary follows.

**Corollary 4.1.** If $\sigma_n \to +\infty$ and $\lim \inf n\alpha > 0$, then

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}((n \ V_n - E(n \ V_n))/(\text{Var}(n \ V_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}))}{\to N(0,1)},$$

and all moments and the moment-generating function converge to those of the standard normal distribution.
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ON CONVERGENCE OF THE COVERAGE BY RANDOM ARCS ON A CIRCLE 
AND THE LARGEST SPACING

Consider \( n \) points taken at random on the circumference of a unit 
circle. Let the successive arc-lengths between these points be 
\( S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n \). Convergence of the moment generating function of 
\( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k - \ln n \) is proved. Let each point be associated with an 
arc, each of length \( a \), and let the length of the circumference which 
is not covered by any arc, the vacancy, be \( V_n \). Convergence of the 
vacancy after suitable scaling is obtained. The methods used are general 
and can, e.g., be used to obtain asymptotic results for other spacings 
and coverage problems.