THE VON MISES DISTRIBUTION IN p-DIMENSIONS,
WITH APPLICATIONS.

BY

MICHAEL A. STEPHENS

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 290
AUGUST 4, 1980

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT
N00014-76-C-0475 (NR-042-267)
FOR THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted
for any purpose of the United States Government
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA
THE VON MISES DISTRIBUTION IN p-DIMENSIONS,
WITH APPLICATIONS

By

Michael A. Stephens

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 290

AUGUST 4, 1980

Prepared under Contract
N00014-76-C-0475 (NR-042-267)
For the Office of Naval Research

Herbert Solomon, Project Director

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted
for any Purpose of the United States Government

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA
1. INTRODUCTION

The von Mises distribution, in 2-dimensions, and the Fisher distribution, in 3-dimensions, have been extensively used in recent years to describe directional data. In this paper we give the theory of the von Mises distribution in p-dimensions, and suggest some possible applications. Some results along these lines were given by Watson (1956) and by Watson and Williams (1956), but the first complete extension to p-dimensions was given in the author's Ph.D. thesis (Stephens, 1962a, Chapter 9). It was later issued as a technical report (Stephens, 1962b) and some results have since been produced by Mardia (1975) and by Degerine (1977); since these sources are relatively inaccessible, we begin with a summary of results, taken essentially from Stephens (1962a, b). In later sections, we develop some new techniques for the analysis of data, and illustrate with an application to data recorded as a set of continuous proportions.

2. THE VON MISES DISTRIBUTION IN P-DIMENSIONS

2.1. The von Mises Distribution.

A typical sample item is recorded as a unit vector from the center 0 to a point P on the surface of a hypersphere, of unit radius, in p-dimensions. A typical sample then consists of the points $P_i, i = 1, \ldots, N$, or equivalently the vectors $OP_i$. When $p = 2$, the points are on a circle, and when $p = 3$, they are on a sphere. The vectors can then denote directions, e.g. of
prevailing winds, flights of birds, or magnetization of rocks.

Until now, it is in these context that the distribution has been
extensively used. Let the unit vector $\mathbf{OP}$, called $\mathbf{v}$, have
coordinates $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p$ in a suitable rectangular system.
It will also be useful to use polar coordinates, consisting, in
general, of the radius $r$ (here $r = 1$), and angular coordinates
$\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{p-1}$. The relations between the two sets of coordinates
are

$$
\begin{align*}
  x_1 &= \cos \theta_1, \\
  x_j &= \cos \theta_j \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \sin \theta_i, \quad (j = 2, \ldots, p-1); \\
  x_p &= \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \sin \theta_i. \\
\end{align*}
$$

The von Mises density is symmetrical around the modal
vector $\mathbf{OA}$; for convenience in analyzing the distribution, we place
this vector along $\theta_1 = 0$. The density per unit area on the
hypersphere is then proportional to $\exp(k \cos \theta_1)$ where $k$ is a
concentration parameter. The joint density function of the $\theta_j$ is

$$
f(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{p-1}) = C_p(k) \exp(k \cos \theta_1) \sin^{p-2} \theta_1 \sin^{p-3} \theta_2 \cdots \sin \theta_{p-2} 
$$

over the range of $\theta_j$. The constant term is

$$
C_p(k) = \frac{k^q}{I_q(k)(2\pi)^{p/2}}
$$
where \( q \) is written for \( p/2 - 1 \) and where \( I_m(k) \) is the imaginary Bessel function of order \( m \) and argument \( k \). When \( k \) is 0, \( C_{p}(0) \) becomes \( \Gamma(p/2)/(2\pi)^{p/2} \) and the density is uniform over the unit hypersphere; the concentration around OA increases with \( k \).

With the density as described, \( x_1 \) is the component of OP on the modal vector and \( \theta_1 \) is the angle between OP and the modal vector. An orthogonal transformation allows the density to be transformed to place OA along any chosen vector, but the general form is then very complicated.

2.2. Notation for vectors and related statistics.

In a suitable rectangular system, let vector \( \mathbf{w}_i \) have components \( x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ip} \), written \( \mathbf{w}_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ip}) \). Two calculations involving vectors are often needed; the scalar product of two vectors and the length of a vector. The scalar product \( s(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j) \) of vectors \( \mathbf{w}_i \) and \( \mathbf{w}_j \) is defined as

\[
s(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j) = x_{i1}x_{j1} + x_{i2}x_{j2} + \ldots + x_{ip}x_{jp}
\]

and the length \( \ell_i \) of \( \mathbf{w}_i \) is given by \( \ell_i^2 = s(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_i) = x_{i1}^2 + x_{i2}^2 + \ldots + x_{ip}^2 \). A vector \( \mathbf{w}_i \) is reduced to unit length by dividing by its length; its components are then \( (x_{i1}/\ell_i, x_{i2}/\ell_i, \ldots, x_{ip}/\ell_i) \).

The scalar product is also \( s(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j) = \ell_i \ell_j \cos \alpha_{ij} \) where \( \alpha_{ij} \) is the smaller angle between vectors \( \mathbf{w}_i \) and \( \mathbf{w}_j \). The values of \( s(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j) \) and of \( \ell_i \) are not dependent on the coordinate system used. Vectors
and their lengths are often denoted by the same letter but with the vector printed boldface; for ease of printing we shall mostly not use this convention, i.e., vectors will not be written boldface, except to distinguish between a resultant vector \( \mathbf{R} \) and its length \( R \).

Suppose a sample of \( N \) unit vectors is given, consisting of vectors \( \mathbf{v}_i = v_{i1}, i = 1, \ldots, N; \) a typical vector \( v_i \) has components \( (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ip}) \), and the polar coordinates are \( (\theta_{i1}, \theta_{i2}, \ldots, \theta_{i(p-1)}) \). The resultant, or vector sum, of the set of \( N \) vectors has components \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p \), where

\[
X_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{ij}.
\]

The resultant is denoted by \( \mathbf{R} = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) \) and its length by \( R \); thus \( R^2 = X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \ldots + X_p^2 \). The length of the component of \( \mathbf{R} \) on the modal vector \( \mathbf{OA} \), when this is known or hypothesized, is often used and will be denoted by \( X \) with no subscript. Suppose \( \mathbf{OA} \) has unit length, and components \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p \); then

\[
X = s(\mathbf{OA}, \mathbf{R}) = a_1 X_1 + a_2 X_2 + \ldots + a_p X_p
\]

(3)

The value of \( X \) will also be independent of the coordinate system.
The statistics $R$, $R$ and $X$ are all important statistics for the analysis of a sample. For example, the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of the direction of the modal vector is the direction of $R$, and the MLE $\hat{k}$ of the concentration parameter $k$ is given by the equation

$$\frac{I_{q+1}(\hat{k})}{I_q(\hat{k})} = \frac{R}{N},$$

with $q = p/2 - 1$ as before. For $k$ large this equation becomes

$$1 - \frac{p-1}{2k} = \frac{R}{N} \text{ i.e. } \hat{k} = \frac{N(p-1)}{2(N-R)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

If $OA$ is known, the component $X$ replaces $R$ in (4) and (5).

When several samples of unit vectors are given, questions arise whether they have the same modal vectors, the same concentration parameters, etc. Let the $i$-th group have modal vector $OA_i$, and concentration parameter $k_i$. Let $v_{ij}, j = 1, \ldots, N_i$, be the set of unit vectors in the $i$-th group, so that $N_i$ is the number of vectors in the group, and let $R_i$ be the length of the resultant vector $R_i$ of the group. Let $N = \sum_i N_i$, and let $R$ be the length of the resultant $R$ of all the vectors treated as one large group.
2.3. Distributions of statistics $X$ and $R$.

In the applications to be described, we shall mostly need approximations to the distributions of $X$ and $R$ which hold when $k$ is large; however for completeness the exact distributions of these two statistics will be given. Suppose $\mathcal{J}_q(t)$ and $\mathcal{I}_q(t)$ are respectively the usual Bessel function and the imaginary Bessel function of order $q$, and let

$$M_1(R) = \int_0^\infty t^{q(1-N)} 2^{-q} \mathcal{J}_q(Rt) \{\mathcal{I}_q(t)\}^N t \, dt$$

and

$$M_2(X) = \int_0^\infty \{\mathcal{J}_q(t)\}^N t^{-qN} \cos Xt \, dt.$$

Also, put

$$C_1 = \{k^q/\mathcal{I}_q(k)\}^N \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(q + 1/2)\}^{-1},$$

The densities of $R$ and of $X$ are (Stephens, 1962a)

$$f_1(R) = C_1 C_2 R^{p/2} M_1(R) \quad 0 \leq R \leq N$$

$$f_2(X) = (C_1/\pi) e^{kX} M_2(X) \quad -N \leq X \leq N.$$

These densities involving Bessel functions simplify for odd values of $p$. Stephens (1962a,b,1967, 1969a) discusses the densities for $p = 2$ and $3$ in much greater detail and uses them to find tests for $k$. Stephens (1962a,b) also gives the conditional density of $R$ given $X$ in $p$-dimensions; this is independent of $k$ as was earlier shown by Watson for $p = 2$ and $3$, and so can be used for a test that the modal vector is along a given vector $\mathbf{OA}_0$, when $k$ is unknown. The tests for $p = 2$ and $3$ are described in detail in Mardia (1972) and in
Section 9 of Volume 2 of *Biometrika Tables for Statisticians*; see also Stephens (1975) for a test for the modal vector, when \( k \) is known. Other exact results for \( p \)-dimensions are given in Stephens (1962a,b).

When \( k = 0 \), the densities above simplify considerably. The vectors are now uniformly distributed on the hypersphere, and the length \( R \) is the length of the final displacement of \( N \) unit steps in a random walk from \( O \) in \( p \)-dimensions. For this reason it has attracted attention long before the use of the von Mises and Fisher distributions. For the exact densities, and for further references, see Stephens (1964, 1969b). When \( k = 0 \), a simple approximation exists for the distribution of \( R \). Suppose \( Z = pR^2/N \) for large \( N \); \( Z \) is approximately \( \chi^2 \) distributed with \( p \) degrees of freedom. This result can be used to provide a test for uniformity based on \( R \); the test has come to be known as Rayleigh's test. A recent paper (Prentice, 1978) surveys tests for uniformity in \( p \)-dimensions.

2.4. **Properties of the von Mises density with large concentration parameter \( k \).**

When \( k \) is large, there are useful approximations concerning the densities of \( R \) and of \( X \). There is then a high probability that \( \theta_1 \) will be small and so \( \cos \theta_1 \approx 1 - \theta_1^2/2 \) and \( \sin \theta_1 \approx \theta_1 \). The density of \( \theta_1 \) becomes
\[ f(\theta_1) \propto c_p(k) \exp(\theta_1^2/2) \theta_1^{p-1}, \quad 0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \pi, \]  

so that the quantity \( k\theta_1^2 \) has approximately a \( \chi^2 \) distribution with \( p-1 \) degrees of freedom. Since \( x_1 = \cos \theta_1 \), we write

\[ k\theta_1^2 = 2k(1 - \cos \theta_1) = 2k(1 - x_1) \approx \chi^2_{p-1}. \] 

Because of the symmetry around the modal vector, the other coordinates \( x_j, j \geq 2, \) have identical distributions; for large \( k \) these are approximately normal with mean 0 and variance \( 1/k \). For a tightly clustered sample of vectors \( v_i \), we expect \( R_j \) to point fairly accurately along the modal vector \( OA \), and the length of \( R \) to be relatively large. If \( OA \) is known, the projection \( X \) of \( R_j \) on \( OA \) will also be large. Then clearly \( N - X \) and \( N - R \) are both measures of the dispersion of the set of vectors. For large \( k \), we have from (7), with \( r = p-1 \),

\[ \sum_i 2k(1 - x_{1i}) \approx \chi^2_{NR} ; \text{ this gives } 2k(N-X) \approx \chi^2_{NR}. \]

Further, the distributional results for \( x_j, j = 2, ..., p, \) lead to the approximate distribution \( k(R^2 - X^2)/N \approx \chi^2_r; \) since \( R \approx X \approx N \), this becomes \( 2k(R-X) \approx \chi^2_r \).

2.5. Tests for the modal vector and for \( k \).

Watson (1956) and Watson and Williams (1956) have used these identities to devise a technique of analysis for large \( k \), which is analogous to the usual one-way analysis of variance for continuous variables. Watson writes the identity

\[ 2k(N-X) = 2k(N-R) + 2k(R-X), \]  

which, by analogy with the analysis of variance, becomes, in \( p \)-dimensions

\[ \chi^2_{NR} = \chi^2_{(N-1)r} + \chi^2_r. \]
This leads to the approximation for the statistic $Z_1$

$$Z_1 = \frac{(N-1)(R-X)}{N-R} \approx F_{s,t} \text{ } (N-1)r$$

where $F_{s,t}$ is the $F$ distribution with $s$ and $t$ degrees of freedom.

Watson suggested the use of statistic $Z_1$ in two or three dimensions, to examine whether a given vector $OA_o$ is the modal vector. On the null hypothesis, both $R$ and $X$ will be known, and the hypothesis will be rejected for large values of $Z_1$, indicating that $X$ is much smaller than $R$; in that case $R$ does not point in the direction of the vector $OA_o$. Tests of the null hypothesis that $k$ is a given value $k_0$ will be based on the $\chi^2$ approximations given above for $2k(N-X)$, when $OA$ is known, or for $2k(N-R)$, when $OA$ is not known. Stephens (1967, 1969a) examined these tests for $p = 2$ and $3$ and found them to be very good even for quite low values of $k$. They will certainly be valid for the large values of $k$ which arise in the applications below.

2.6. **Comparison of several modal vectors.**

Suppose $s$ different samples of unit vectors are given and we wish to test whether all the samples come from populations with the same modal vector, assuming they have the same value of $k$. On the null hypothesis we again use the $\chi^2$ approximation for $2k(N-R)$, and apply this result to the individual samples as well as the entire group taken as a whole. We write the following identity

$$2k(N-R) = 2k(N_1 - R_1) + 2k(N_2 - R_2) + \ldots + 2k(N_s - R_s) + 2k(R_1 + R_2 + \ldots + R_s - R) \quad (10)$$

and, again by analogy with the analysis of variance we obtain
\[ 2k\{\Sigma_i (N_i - \bar{R_i})\} \approx \chi^2_{(N-s)r} \text{ and } 2k(R_1 + R_2 + \ldots + R_s - R) \approx \chi^2_{(s-1)r}, \] (11)

where again \( r = p-1 \); hence the statistic

\[ Z_2 = \frac{(N-s)(\Sigma_i R_i - R)}{(s-1)(N-\Sigma_i R_i)} \]

will have approximately the \( F \) distribution with \((s-1)r\) and \((N-s)r\) degrees of freedom. Therefore to test whether the different groups have the same modal vector, the statistic \( Z_2 \) is calculated and compared with this \( F \) distribution. Large values of \( Z_2 \) will be significant, indicating that the \( R_i \) vectors point in different directions.

The above analysis is essentially a one-way analysis of variance which can be set up in the usual tabular form;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance Components</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between groups: ( \Sigma_i R_i - R )</td>
<td>((s-1)r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups: ( N - \Sigma_i R_i )</td>
<td>((N-s)r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>((N-1)r)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that throughout the table \( 2k \) has been omitted before the variance components; since only ratios will be used for tests this does not affect the calculations. This is analogous to omitting \( \sigma^2 \) in the terms of squares of an ANOVA table. In a later section we shall give an extension to the above analysis which can be used when, for example, the groups of vectors can be classified according to two criteria.
2.7. **Tests for constant k.**

In the variance component analysis, k is assumed to be constant for each group, analogous to the assumption of constant variance in an analysis of variance table. For large k this assumption can be tested as follows. Suppose in general, there are s groups of vectors, and it is required to test for constant k for all groups. For group i, calculate \( Q_i = N_i - R_i \) and

\[ q_i = (N_i-1)r = (N_i-1)(p-1); \]

let \( T = \Sigma_i q_i \) and \( t = \Sigma_i q_i \). Calculate

\[ Z_3 = t \ln T - \Sigma_i q_i \ln Q_i - t \ln t + \Sigma_i q_i \ln q_i \]

and \[ C = 1 + \frac{1}{3(s-1)} (\Sigma_i q_i^{-1} - 1/t) ; \]

finally let \( Z_3' = Z_3/C \). The hypothesis of constant k is rejected if \( Z_3' \) is significantly large compared with the \( \chi^2 \) distribution with s-l degrees of freedom. Note that if \( Z_3 \) is not significant, \( Z_3' \) will not be significant, since C is greater than 1. This test is based on Bartlett's test for homogeneity of variances. Other tests for the same purpose (e.g. those in section 16 of Biometrika Tables for Statisticians, Vol. 1) can be adapted in a similar way.

3. **THE ANALYSIS OF CONTINUOUS PROPORTIONS.**

3.1. **Applications of the von Mises distribution in p dimensions.**

The von Mises distribution in p-dimensions can be a useful tool of analysis of multivariate data, where the variables are subject to a constraint which corresponds to the fact that all vectors in the
von Mises sample have unit lengths. An example is the analysis of continuous proportions, where the typical vector $\mathbf{v}$ has components $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p$ which are proportions of a continuum, e.g. time or volume or mass. Suppose, for example, a subject records the proportion of his day spent in $p$ different activities; let these proportions be $\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_p$, and let $x_i = \sqrt{\pi_i}$. Since $\sum_i x_i^2 = \sum_i \pi_i = 1$, a typical activity pattern for a subject can be recorded as a unit vector $\mathbf{v}$ with components $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p$. A group of subjects will be denoted by a set of points on the unit hypersphere, and the population might well be represented by a von Mises density around a central vector. This application occurred to the author when at a Conference some years ago such a data set was being discussed, gathered from the activity patterns of over 200 students at Reading University, and covering over 60 activities. The students had been asked to compile a diary for one week, giving their activities for every fifteen minute period. Thus the vector of activity pattern was available for each student, and in addition a transition matrix was available giving the probability of moving from activity $i$ to activity $j$. The example in Section 3.3 examines a similar data set, but much simplified.

3.2. The von Mises distribution for continuous proportions.

The von Mises distribution was suggested above as a useful model for a cluster of vectors around a modal direction. For continuous proportions further analytic justification can be given. Suppose for each $i$ the proportion $\pi_i$ recorded by a subject is a random variable $\pi_i = a_i + \epsilon_i$; $a_i$ is the modal value for the population, and $\epsilon_i$ a random fluctuation with a normal distribution with mean $0$ and a small variance $\sigma^2_i$. Since $\sum_i \pi_i = 1$, and $\sum_i a_i = 1$, the constraint $\sum_i \epsilon_i = 0$ is imposed on the $\epsilon_i$, which are otherwise assumed independent. The
typical component of the unit vector \( v \) for the subject is \( x_i = \sqrt{\pi_i} \), and the modal vector \( OA \) has components \( \sqrt{\alpha_i} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, p \). The scalar product \( s(OA, v) \) gives \( \cos \theta_1 \); thus

\[
\cos \theta_1 = \sum_i x_i \sqrt{\alpha_i} \approx \sum_i \left[ \alpha_i \epsilon_i + \epsilon_i / (2 \sqrt{\alpha_i}) - \epsilon_i^2 / \left( 8 \alpha_i^{3/2} \right) \right]
\]

using the binomial expansion of \( x_i = \sqrt{\alpha_i + \epsilon_i} \). In the simplest case, suppose that all \( \alpha_i \) are equal to \( 1/p \), and that all \( \sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2 \), a constant. Then \( \cos \theta_1 \) becomes

\[
\cos \theta_1 \approx 1 - \frac{p^{3/2} \sum \epsilon_i^2}{8} \]

and since \( \cos \theta_1 \approx 1 - \theta_1^{2/2} \) we have \( k_1 \theta_1^2 \approx \sum \epsilon_i^2 \), where \( k_1 = 4/p^{3/2} \).

However, \( \sum \epsilon_i^2 / \sigma^2 \) has the \( \chi^2_{p-1} \) distribution, one degree of freedom being lost since \( \sum \epsilon_i = 0 \). Hence \( k \theta_1^2 \approx \chi^2_{p-1} \), where \( k = k_1 / \sigma^2 = 4 \sigma^2 / p^{3/2} \).

By symmetry, the vector component of \( v \) which is not along \( OA \) will be uniformly distributed; this result, together with \( k \theta_1^2 \approx \chi^2_{p-1} \), indicates that the vector \( v \) has the von Mises distribution, at least to a good approximation. When \( \alpha_i \) and \( \sigma_i^2 \) are not all equal, the approximation still holds well provided we can take \( \sum \epsilon_i^2 / \alpha_i \approx 0 \) and

\[
\sum \epsilon_i^2 / \alpha_i^{3/2} \approx k_2 \chi^2_{p-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad k_2 \text{ is a constant.}
\]

These approximations will be good if \( \sigma_i^2 \sim \alpha_i^{3/2} \), a reasonable model, and the von Mises distribution will be probably quite robust for most situations provided the \( \alpha_i \) are not too different in value.

3.3. Example.

The data to be analyzed concerns the proportions of time spent in various activities by 130 students at Simon Fraser University. The activities were classified in 8 ways: sleeping, attending lectures, studying, socializing, travelling, family activities, meals, and personal activities and the students were asked to record their activities for one day only. Thus the sample does not represent the overall activity pattern,
but it is used here as an illustration of the general methodology. The complete data set is available from the author.

The first step in analyzing the data is to convert the proportions to x-coordinates. For student \( i \) let \( \pi_{ij} \) be the proportion of time spent in activity \( j \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N, \ j = 1, \ldots, p \), and let \( x_{ij} = \sqrt{\pi_{ij}} \). From the \( x_{ij} \), the component \( X_j \) of the resultant \( \mathbf{R} \) and the length \( R \) of \( \mathbf{R} \), are calculated as described in Section 2.2.

We first use the analysis of variance technique to examine whether there appears to be a difference in activity patterns between men and women. The data set is divided into two groups; group 1 for women and group 2 for men. The results for the two groups are given in Table 1. From the ANOVA table of part (a), the value of statistic \( Z_2 \) is 0.61, which is not significant at \( \alpha = .10 \) when compared with the \( F_{s,t} \) distribution with \( s = 7 \) and \( t = 896 \). With such a large value for \( t \), the percentage point of \( F \), at upper level \( \alpha \), is excellently approximated by \( \chi^2_s(a)/s \), where \( \chi^2_s(a) \) is the upper tail percentage point of \( \chi^2_s \) at level \( \alpha \). Here the value required would be \( \chi^2_7(a)/7 \); for \( \alpha = .10 \), this is 1.909. Therefore there appears to be no reason to suppose the activity pattern is different between men and women students.

The data were next examined to see if there was a difference is patterns explained by the style of living arrangements of the students. The living styles were classified as: 1, college residence; 2, marriage or marriage style; 3, other, e.g. at home, sharing an apartment, renting a room, etc. The results are given in part (b) of Table 1. The value of \( Z_2 \) is now 3.3, to be compared with the \( F_{s,t} \) table with \( s = 14, t = 889 \). At the 1%
level, the value of $\chi^2_{14}(.01)/14$ is 2.08, so that $Z_2$ is significant at this level, and we conclude that there is a difference between groups classified by living styles. The data will be examined in greater detail after some new techniques have been introduced.

4. NEW TECHNIQUES

4.1. Two-way analysis of variance.

In this section we give some new techniques for the examination of data. First the variance component analysis of the preceding section is extended to a two-way layout, and the student data is again used for illustration. In section 4.3, we discuss goodness-of-fit to the von Mises distribution, and in section 4.4 some techniques of clustering and correlation are briefly mentioned.

Suppose the sample items (for example, students) are classified in two ways: by a main classification $1$ with $I$ groups, indexed by $i=1,\ldots,I$, and by classification $2$ with $J_i$ groups within group $i$ of classification $1$. When a student falls into group $i$ of classification $1$ and group $j$ of classification $2$, the associated vector of activity proportions will be placed in cell $(i,j)$ in row $i$, column $j$, of a two-way table. Extending our previous notation, we write $v_{ijk}$ for the $k$-th vector in cell $(i,j)$. Let $N_{ij}$ be the number of vectors in cell $(i,j)$, and let $R_{ij}$ be the length of the resultant in this cell. Let $N$ be the total number of vectors and let $N^*$ be the number of non-empty cells. Let $R_i$, be the length of the resultant of all vectors in row $i$, i.e., of the vectors for all students in group $i$ of the first classification, and suppose $R_{..}$ is the length of the resultant
of all the vectors. As before, write \( r = p - 1 \). A table may be constructed as in Table 2(a). By extension of the previous analysis, we write the following identity

\[
2k(N-R.\) = 2k \sum_{j=1}^{J} (N_{ij} - R_{ij}) + 2k \sum_{j=1}^{J} (N_{ij} - R_{ij}) + \cdots + 2k \sum_{j=1}^{J} (N_{ij} - R_{ij})
\]

\[
+ 2k \left( \frac{I}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} R_{ij} - R_\cdot \right) + \cdots + 2k \left( \frac{I}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} R_{ij} - R_\cdot \right) + 2k \left( \frac{I}{J} \sum_{i=1}^{I} R_{ij} - R_\cdot \right);
\]

collecting terms, we have

\[
2k(N-R.\) = 2k \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (N_{ij} - R_{ij}) + 2k \left( \frac{I}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (R_{ij} - R_\cdot) \right) + \cdots + 2k \left( \frac{I}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (R_{ij} - R_\cdot) \right)
\]

\[
+ 2k \left( \frac{I}{J} \sum_{i=1}^{I} R_{ij} - R_\cdot \right)
\]

with corresponding distributions, for large \( k \):

\[
\chi^2_{(N-1)r} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \chi^2_{(J-1)r} + \chi^2_{(I-1)r}.
\]

The terms may be arranged in a variance component table as in Table 2(b). A final column ("Mean Component") may be added, giving the value of the variance component divided by its degree of freedom. The table allows us to examine differences between rows, or differences between columns within any one row; thus the analysis will be similar to what is usually called a nested analysis of variance.

To test the null hypothesis \( H_0 \): that there is no difference between rows, we calculate the quotient

\[
Z_4 = \frac{(N-N^*) \left( \frac{I}{J} \sum_{i=1}^{I} R_{ij} - R_\cdot \right)}{(I-1)(N- \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} R_{ij})}
\]

which, on \( H_0 \), has an F-distribution with \( (I-1)r \) and \( (N-N^*)r \) degrees of freedom. The null hypothesis is rejected for a significantly
large value of $Z_4$. Similarly, to test the null hypothesis $H_0$: there is no difference between columns within row $i$, the quotient

$$Z_5 = \frac{(N-N^*)}{\sum_{i=1}^{J} \frac{1}{N^*} (R_{ij} - R_{i.})}$$

is calculated. On $H_0$, $Z_5$ has an F-distribution with $(J-1)r$ and $(N-1)r$ degrees of freedom, and $H_0$ should be rejected for a significantly large value of $Z_5$.

4.2. Example.

We continue with the example already begun in the previous section. The original sample is now subdivided by both sex and living arrangements, making a total of six cells. Table 3 shows the sample size of each cell and the resultant length in each cell. Also shown in the table are the resultant length for each row, i.e., for the males and for the females, and for each column, i.e., for the three styles of living arrangements. Other relevant statistics are also given for use with Table 2(b). Tables 3(a) and 3(b) give the tables for two analyses. The column MC gives the mean component, i.e., variance component divided by its degrees of freedom.

In analysis 1, we first test if there appears to be a difference between activity patterns for men and women, using the variance components for "between sexes" and "within groups". The test statistic $Z_4 = 0.00857/0.01376 = 0.62$ and this is clearly not significant when compared to the $F_{7,868}$ distribution. The next
test is for difference between living styles for women only. The statistic \( Z_5 = 0.01486/0.01376 = 1.08 \), and this is also not significant. The test statistic for difference between living styles for men is \( Z_5 = 0.0421/0.01376 \approx 3.06 \); this is significant compared with \( F_{14,868} (\approx \chi^2_{14}/14) \) at the \( \alpha = 0.005 \) level. Thus the previously noted difference between activity patterns for different living styles has been narrowed down to a difference for men.

In analysis 2, the difference between activity patterns for different living styles again shows up in the corresponding \( Z_4 \) statistic, \( Z_4 = 0.043/0.01376 \approx 3.13 \); but for a difference between sexes within each living style there is no significant \( Z_5 \) statistic, confirming the results already found. The results of the more detailed two-way analysis are consistent with each other and sharpen the conclusions gained from the one-way analysis.

Example of the test for constant \( k \). For the cells in Table 3 the values of \( \hat{k} \) across the top row are 32.44, 36.63, 38.70, and those across the bottom row are 51.39, 36.53, 37.99. The test statistic \( Z_3 \) of Section 2.7 has value \( Z_3 = 3.44 \), not significant when compared with \( \chi^2_5 \), so that the hypothesis of constant \( k \) can be maintained.

Example 2. In the above example, the classification within each row was the same, with \( J_1 = 3 \) for both men and women. However, with a nested model, \( J_1 \) can of course be different for each row. We illustrate with a second example with data kindly provided by Dr. Charles Jones of the Dept. of Sociology, McMaster University. \( N = 232 \) respondents
were each asked to rate 35 ethnic or religious groups in Canada, by the criterion of social standing, using a 9-point scale. By a scaling device, the 35-vector of replies was reduced to a vector in 3 dimensions. These vectors could have negative components, in contrast to the continuous proportions data. Thus the basic data set consists of 232 vectors in 3 dimensions; these were divided into 8 groups according to ethnic origin of the respondents. The eight groups have been put into four rows indicated by the nature of the Canadian population, and two of the rows have been subclassified. The data is given in Table 4, with the Analysis of Variance. For a test of significance between rows, the test statistic is $Z^2_2 = 1.28/.063 = 20.31$ and is highly significant when compared with $\chi^2_{6}/6$ at the 0.005 level. The test statistics for differences in groups within rows 2 and 4 are respectively $Z^2_5 = .0306/.063$ and $Z^2_5 = .0419/.063$ and are clearly far from significant.

4.3. **Goodness-of-Fit.**

The analysis described so far assumes that the observations come from the p-dimensional von Mises distribution. In order to test this assumption, we use two of the distributional results described in Section 2. The results are the distribution of the angle $\theta_1$ between a typical vector $v$ and the modal vector $OA$, and the distribution of the component of $v$, say $y$, at right angles to the
modal vector. Since the modal vector is not precisely known, $\theta_1$ must be estimated by $\phi$, the angle between $v$ and the resultant $R$ of the sample. The set of angles $\phi$ is tested to come from the density for $\theta_1$ given in equation (6), using the usual Pearson $\chi^2$ test. Since $\phi$ replaces $\theta_1$, it is difficult to determine exactly the degrees of freedom (though $k-2$ might be indicated for a test involving $k$ cells) and more examination needs to be made of the test in this case. However, the statistic will be a helpful guide to the fit of $\theta_1$. The components $y_i$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,N$, at right angles to $R$ should be uniform on the hypersphere of dimension $p-1$, and the hypothesis that this is so can be tested in many ways (see e.g. Prentice, 1978). For robustness of the analysis, it will be important that they are not clustered around a single mode and for this purpose the Rayleigh test is indicated. The vector component $y_i$ is found as follows. Let $u = R/R$ be the unit vector along $R$ and let $c_i$ be the scalar product of $v_i$ and $R$ (see section 2.2 for these calculations). The component of $v_i$ along $R$ is then $uc_i$ and $y_i$, the component at right angles, is $y_i = v_i - uc$. To apply the Rayleigh test, we then reduce each vector $y_i$ to unit length as shown in Section 2.2; let the unit vector be $z_i$, with components $z_{i1}, z_{i2}, \ldots, z_{ip}$; the resultant $Z$ of this set of vectors has components $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_p$, where $Z_j = \Sigma z_{ij}$, and the length $Z$ of $Z$ is given by $Z^2 = Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + \ldots + Z_p^2$. On the null hypothesis that the vectors $z_i$ are uniform in the $p-1$ dimensional subspace, the test statistic $T = (p-1)Z^2/N$ is asymptotically distributed as $\chi^2$ with $p-1$ degrees of freedom; the hypothesis of uniformity is rejected if $T$ is larger than $\chi^2_{p-1}(a)$. The two tests above, taken together, provide a good omnibus test that the original sample of vectors $v_i$ comes from the von Mises distribution. The
distributional tests are applied to each cell of the two way analysis of variance table described above, analogous to applying tests for normality in the usual analysis of variance table. If, of course, there were to be a significant difference in the modal vector, say between men and women, a test for the von Mises distribution applied to the complete sample, including both men and women, might well be rejected. On the other hand, if each group is found to have a von Mises distribution, the test for common modal vector can be applied, and if accepted, the overall sample should have a von Mises distribution. For the student data in Table 3, all the groups gave far from significant values for the test statistics for goodness-of-fit, so that the von Mises distribution appears to fit the data well.

4.4. Clustering and correlation.

The scalar product \( s_{ij} = s(v_i, v_j) \) is a convenient measure of the closeness of the vectors \( v_i \) and \( v_j \); \( s_{ij} \) takes values between -1 and 1. We can call \( s_{ij} \) a proximity measure, and the matrix \( S \), with entries \( s_{ij} \), a proximity matrix. A cluster may then be defined as containing all points for which \( s_{ij} \) is greater than \( r_0 \), for a suitable \( r_0 \), or by using some similar algorithm. This proximity measure has been used on some economic data in an M.Sc. thesis (Holguin, 1980); data on the proportions of different wood products produced by Canadian and U.S. lumber companies were provided by Dr. R. Schwindt of this University and the companies were examined both for differences between groups and also to find clusters. In a second data set, taken from a U.N. publication, countries were clustered according to the proportions of certain staple foods in the national diet.
When two sets of vectors can be logically paired, the techniques of correlation developed by Stephens (1979) may be useful. These have not been illustrated here because they did not appear to be applicable to the student data.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

(a) It is hoped that the methodology developed above will be useful for the analysis of data which it is convenient to record as a set of unit vectors; the example illustrated is that of continuous proportions. The special feature of the technique is that it incorporates the constraint expressed by $\sum_i \pi_i = 1$ in a natural way. Note that the order of proportions, e.g. the order of labelling student activities, does not affect the analysis. For reasons of space, only one such example has been discussed in detail but analyses similar to the above could be applied to the proportions of different minerals in an ore deposit, calculated by volume or by mass, or the proportions of different products in the total output of a company, the proportions of the area of a city used for different purposes, etc. Several examples on these lines have been suggested to the author, and they will be followed up in later case studies.

(b) For proportions analysis, the components of sample vectors are naturally all positive, so that the vectors in p-dimensions are tightly clustered; hence we obtain the high k values seen with the student data, and the analysis of variance technique works very well. Nevertheless, the robustness of these methods needs further exploration. For example, the effect must be determined of specifying too many components for $v_i$, e.g. too many student activities, or too many
subdivisions of output of a lumber company, so that some components are zero for many of the sample vectors. The Rayleigh test should detect if the effective reduction in dimensions which this produces has a strong influence.

(c) The methodology described is not primarily intended for proportions which come from counted data, such as proportions of a sample of voters expressing different political preferences. Proportions of this type are usually examined for homogeneity in contingency tables (though not of course using the proportions themselves), and each group of voters represents an independent sample. Even if they were expressed as unit vectors, the model behind the counted data is such that it would not necessarily be appropriate to regard the vectors as from a von Mises distribution. However, if the samples of voters could be naturally grouped, say by regions, and especially if each voter sample were of the same size, some of the above techniques might be useful in exploring the data. For example the scalar product proximity measure \( s_{ij} \) discussed in Section 4.4 could be a practical measure of the similarity of two patterns of voter preferences.

The pitfalls of proportions, which have often been emphasized in discussions of contingency tables, should again be stressed. The author has seen, for example, a proposal to analyze proportions of land use in major cities, one of the examples briefly mentioned above, using contingency tables, on the grounds that the numbers were "counted data"; they had been obtained by superimposing a fine grid on the map and counting squares. It is not always easy, especially for the applied worker with only a limited knowledge of a contingency table model, to distinguish between the two types of data when presented as proportions.
(d) It may be seen that there is no shortage of interesting examples where the above methodology may be applied. The best test of its effectiveness will be in these practical applications and especially in comparisons with other techniques of analysis. In this way any difficulties of application, especially concerning robustness of the methods, will hopefully come to light. A number of such comparisons have been started, and it is hoped in a later paper to report on several case studies in different fields.

This work was supported by the National Research Council of Canada (now the National Science and Engineering Research Council), and also by the U.S. Office of Naval Research, and both agencies are thanked for their support. The author also is grateful to Professor G.S. Watson for his guidance in the early stages of this work, and to Mr. J. Holguin for recent helpful conversations and for assistance with the computations.
### TABLE 1

**Analysis of activity pattern of 130 students.**

Overall resultant length (130 vectors) \( R = 117.199. \)

**Part (a) Analysis of differences between men and women.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>( N_i )</th>
<th>( R_i )</th>
<th>( k_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Women)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>50.504</td>
<td>35.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (Men)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>66.754</td>
<td>37.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>117.258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANOVA Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Mean Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between groups ( \Sigma_i R_i - R )</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.0086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups ( N - \Sigma_i R_i )</td>
<td>12.742</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>.0142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ( N - R )</td>
<td>12.801</td>
<td>903</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part (b) Analysis of differences by living style.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>( N_i )</th>
<th>( R_i )</th>
<th>( k_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16.317</td>
<td>37.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.190</td>
<td>34.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>76.293</td>
<td>38.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>117.801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANOVA Table.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Mean Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between groups ( \Sigma_i R_i - R )</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups ( N - \Sigma_i R_i )</td>
<td>12.199</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>.0137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ( N - R )</td>
<td>12.801</td>
<td>903</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2

Two way analysis of variance for resultant vectors.

(a) Table of resultants.

Classification 2

(Columns)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>\ldots</th>
<th>Vector Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( R_{11} )</td>
<td>( R_{12} )</td>
<td>( R_{13} )</td>
<td>( R_{14} )</td>
<td>( R_{1} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( R_{21} )</td>
<td>( R_{22} )</td>
<td>( R_{23} )</td>
<td>( R_{2} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( R_{1} )</td>
<td>( R_{..} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the vector total at the end of a row or column is not the arithmetic total of the entries of that row or column.

(b) ANOVA Table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between rows</td>
<td>( \sum_{i=1}^{I} R_{i} - R_{..} )</td>
<td>((I-1)r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between cols. within row 1</td>
<td>( \sum_{j=1}^{J_1} R_{1j} - R_{1} )</td>
<td>((J_1-1)r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:</td>
<td>( \sum_{j=1}^{J_I} R_{Ij} - R_{I} )</td>
<td>((J_I-1)r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups</td>
<td>( N - \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} R_{ij} )</td>
<td>((N-N^*)r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>( N-R_{..} )</td>
<td>((N-1)r)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 3

(a) Results for 130 students classified by sex and by three living styles.

Each cell shows the number of students and the resultant lengths for the group. \( R_{i.} \) = length of resultant of all students in row \( i \), and \( R_{.j} \) is the resultant length for all students in column \( j \). \( R_{..} \) is the length of the resultant of all 130 vectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Living style</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>( R_{i.} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>9 8.029</td>
<td>13 11.758</td>
<td>34 30.925</td>
<td>50.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>9 8.387</td>
<td>15 13.563</td>
<td>50 45.394</td>
<td>66.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{.j} )</td>
<td>16.317</td>
<td>25.190</td>
<td>76.293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Sigma R_{1j} = 50.712 \)
\( \Sigma R_{11} = 16.416 \)
\( \Sigma R_{.1} = 117.800 \)

\( \Sigma R_{2j} = 67.344 \)
\( \Sigma R_{12} = 25.221 \)
\( \Sigma R_{.2} = 117.258 \)

\( \Sigma R_{ij} = 118.058 \)
\( \Sigma R_{i3} = 76.319 \)
\( R_{..} = 117.198 \)

(b) ANOVA Table for analysis 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>M.C.</th>
<th>Test Statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between sexes</td>
<td>( \Sigma R_{i.} - R_{..} )</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.0086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between styles, F</td>
<td>( \Sigma R_{1j} - R_{11} )</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.0149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between styles, M</td>
<td>( \Sigma R_{2j} - R_{22} )</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.0421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups</td>
<td>( N - \Sigma R_{ij} )</td>
<td>11.942</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>.0138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(c) **ANOVA Table for analysis 2.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>M.C.</th>
<th>Test Statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between styles ( \sum R_{j} - R \cdot \cdot )</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between sexes, Style 1 ( \sum R_{i1} - R_{1} \cdot \cdot )</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between sexes, Style 2 ( \sum R_{i2} - R_{2} \cdot \cdot )</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Sexes, Style 3 ( \sum R_{i3} - R_{3} \cdot \cdot )</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.0037</td>
<td>.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups ( N - \sum_{i,j} R_{ij} )</td>
<td>11.942</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>.0138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 4

Vectors determined from sociological ratings.

Each cell shows the number of respondents and the resultant length for the group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canadian</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Irish</td>
<td>Scots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>55.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R<sub>..</sub> = 195.79

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANOVA table</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>M.C.</th>
<th>Test Statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between rows</td>
<td>203.48 - 195.79 = 7.69</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within row 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups</td>
<td>232 - 203.77 = 28.23</td>
<td>2(232-8) = 448</td>
<td>.063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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THE VON MISES DISTRIBUTION IN p-DIMENSIONS,
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The theory of the von Mises distribution in p-dimensions is
summarized, and it is shown how one or more sets of unit vectors,
with \( p \) components, can be analyzed using this distribution. In
particular, some extensions are made on the analysis of variance
techniques first developed by Watson for two and three dimensions.
An application is given to the analysis of continuous proportions
for which the techniques supply a good methodology. Other examples
are also briefly discussed.